

## Midterm Solutions

1. Let  $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \geq x^4 \\ 1, & y \leq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Find each of the following limits, or explain that the limit does not exist.

(a)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,1)} f(x, y)$

(b)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (2,3)} f(x, y)$

(c)  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y)$

**Solution.** (a) Any point  $(x, y)$  inside a ball of sufficiently small radius (say  $r < 0.5$ ) around  $(0, 1)$ , satisfies  $y \geq x^4$ . From this, we can infer that

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,1)} f(x, y) = 1.$$

(b) It is easy to see that any point  $(x, y)$  inside a ball of sufficiently small radius (say  $r < 0.5$ ) around  $(2, 3)$ , does not satisfy either  $y \geq x^4$  or  $y \leq 0$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (2,3)} f(x, y) = 0.$$

(c) Along  $x = 0$ , we can see that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(0, h) = f(0, -h) = 1,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y) = 1.$$

However, along the curve  $y = x^2$ , both  $y \geq x^4$  and  $y \leq 0$  are not satisfied, which would imply that

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y) = 0.$$

Hence, the limit does not exist.

2. Let  $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy^2}{x^2 + y^4}, & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$

Show that  $f_x(0, 0)$  and  $f_y(0, 0)$  exist, but  $f$  is not differentiable at the origin.

**Solution.** By definition, we know that

$$f_x(0, 0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h, 0) - f(0, 0)}{h} = \frac{0}{h} = 0.$$

In a similar manner, we can also show that  $f_y(0, 0) = 0$ .

Moreover, along the curve  $x = y^2$ , we can see that

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y) = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{y^4}{y^4 + y^4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

But by definition,  $f(0, 0) = 0$ , which shows that  $f$  is not continuous at the origin. Hence,  $f$  cannot be differentiable at the origin.

3. If  $G = f(x, y)$ , where  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$ . Then show that

$$(G_x)^2 + (G_y)^2 = (G_r)^2 + \frac{(G_\theta)^2}{r^2}.$$

**Solution.** By Chain Rule,

$$G_r = f_x x_r + f_y y_r = G_x(\cos \theta) + G_y(\sin \theta)$$

and

$$G_\theta = f_x x_\theta + f_y y_\theta = G_x(-r \sin \theta) + G_y(r \cos \theta).$$

From this, it is apparent that the equation above holds good.

4. Consider the scalar field  $f(x, y) = x^2 + kxy + y^2$ .

- (a) Show that  $(0, 0)$  is a critical point for  $f(x, y)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) For what values of  $k$  will  $f(x, y)$  have a saddle point at  $(0, 0)$ .
- (c) For what values of  $k$  does  $f$  have local minimum at  $(0, 0)$ ?
- (d) For what values of  $k$  is the Second Derivative Test inconclusive?

**Solution.** (a) When  $k = 0$ ,  $f_x(x, y) = 2x$  and  $f_y(x, y) = 2y$ . Equating  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  to zero, we get that  $(0, 0)$  is a critical point.

If  $k \neq 0$ , then

$$f_x(x, y) = 0 \implies 2x + ky = 0 \implies y = -\frac{2}{k}x.$$

Upon substituting this in  $f_y(x, y) = 0$ , we have

$$kx + 2\left(-\frac{2}{k}x\right) = 0 \implies \left(k - \frac{4}{k}\right)x = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } k = \pm 2.$$

If  $x = 0$ , then  $y = 0$ , and if  $k = \pm 2$ , then  $y = \pm x$ . In both cases,  $(0, 0)$  is a critical point.

(b) The second partial derivatives are:  $f_{xx} = f_{yy} = 2$ , and  $f_{xy} = f_{yx} = k$ . From these, we obtain  $\Delta(x, y) = f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2 = 4 - k^2$ . For  $f$  to have a saddle point at  $(0, 0)$ , we need  $\Delta(0, 0) < 0$ , which would imply that  $4 < k^2$ , or  $k \in (-\infty, 2) \sqcup (2, \infty)$ .

(c)  $f$  will have a local minimum at  $(0, 0)$  when  $\Delta(0, 0) > 0$ , that is, when  $4 - k^2 > 0$ , or  $k \in (-2, 2)$ .

(d) The test is inconclusive when  $f_{xx} = 4 - k^2 = 0$ , that is when  $k = \pm 2$ .

5. Show that the sum of the  $x$ -,  $y$ -, and  $z$ -intercepts of any tangent plane to the surface  $\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y} + \sqrt{z} = \sqrt{c}$  is a constant. Also, find this constant. (Note that the  $x$ -intercept of a graph is the  $x$ -coordinate of the point where it meets the  $x$ -axis. The  $y$ - and  $z$ -intercepts are defined analogously.)

**Solution.** Let  $P(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  be any point on the surface. Then the equation of the tangent plane  $T_P$  at  $P$  can be shown to be

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{x_0}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{y_0}} + \frac{z}{\sqrt{z_0}} = \sqrt{c}.$$

Clearly, the  $x$ -,  $y$ -, and  $z$ -intercepts of  $T_P$  are  $\sqrt{cx_0}$ ,  $\sqrt{cy_0}$ , and  $\sqrt{cz_0}$  respectively. The sum of these intercepts is  $\sqrt{c}(\sqrt{x_0} + \sqrt{y_0} + \sqrt{z_0}) = c$ , which is a constant.

6. Find the point closest to the origin on the curve of intersection of the plane  $2y + 4z = 5$  and the cone  $z^2 = 4x^2 + y^2$ .

**Solution.** Let  $(x, y, z)$  be an arbitrary point on the curve of intersection. Let  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$  be the square of its distance

from the origin. We want to minimize  $f$  subject to the constraints  $g(x, y, z) = 2y + 4z$  and  $h(x, y, z) = 4x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ .

By the method of Lagrange's Multipliers, we need to simultaneously solve the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &= \lambda \nabla g + \mu \nabla h \\ 2y + 4z &= 5 \\ z^2 &= 4x^2 + y^2.\end{aligned}$$

This yields the following system of five equations in five unknowns,

$$\begin{aligned}2x &= 8x\mu \\ 2y &= 2\lambda + 8y\mu \\ 2z &= 4\lambda - 2z\mu \\ 2y + 4z &= 5 \\ z^2 &= 4x^2 + y^2.\end{aligned}$$

There are two possible solutions to the first equation in the system:  $x = 0$  (Case 1) or  $\mu = \frac{1}{4}$  (Case 2). We now examine these two cases.

*Case 1:* If  $x = 0$ , then from the fifth equation we get that  $z = \pm y$ . Upon substituting this in the fourth equation, we get that  $y = \frac{5}{6}$  or  $y = -\frac{5}{2}$ . Therefore, the solutions we obtain from this case are  $(0, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6})$  and  $(0, -\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$ .

*Case 2:* If  $\mu = \frac{1}{4}$ , then from the second equation, we can infer that  $\lambda = 0$ , and by substituting this in the third equation, we get  $z = 0$ . From the fourth equation, we have that  $y = \frac{5}{2}$ , but this cannot be a feasible solution, as this would then make it impossible to find an  $x$  that satisfies the fifth equation.

It is easy to see that among the feasible points,  $(0, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{6})$  is closest to origin.

7. Find the absolute maximum and minimum values of  $f(x, y) = 3 + xy - x - 2y$  on the closed triangular region with vertices  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(5, 0)$ , and  $(1, 4)$ .

**Solution.** Let  $D$  denote the closed triangular region with the three vertices  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(5, 0)$ , and  $(1, 4)$ . Since  $f$  is a polynomial, it is continuous in  $D$ , and hence attains its extremal values in  $D$  (by the Extreme Value Theorem). Setting  $f_x = f_y = 0$ , we obtain  $(2, 1)$  as the only critical point, and  $f(2, 1) = 1$ .

Note that  $\partial D$  is a triangle comprised of three line segments: A segment  $L_1$  joining  $(1, 4)$  and  $(1, 0)$ , a segment  $L_2$  joining  $(1, 0)$  and  $(5, 0)$ , and a segment  $L_3$  joining  $(5, 0)$  and  $(1, 4)$ . We will now compute the extremal values of  $f$  along  $\partial D$ .

*Along  $L_1$ :*  $x = 1$  and  $f(1, y) = 2 - y$  for  $y \in [0, 4]$ , which is a decreasing function in  $y$ . So the maximum value is  $f(1, 0) = 2$  and the minimum value is  $f(1, 4) = -2$ .

*Along  $L_2$ :*  $y = 0$  and  $f(x, 0) = 3 - x$  for  $x \in [1, 5]$ , which is a decreasing function in  $x$ . Hence, the maximum value is  $f(1, 0) = 2$  and the minimum value is  $f(5, 0) = -2$ .

*Along  $L_3$ :*  $y = 5 - x$  and  $g(x) = f(x, 5 - x) = -(x - 3)^2 + 2$  for  $x \in [1, 5]$ . This function has a maximum at  $x = 3$  (which we can conclude by setting  $g'(x) = 0$ ), where  $f(3, 2) = 2$ , and a minimum at both  $(1, 4)$  and  $(5, 0)$ , where  $f(1, 0) = f(5, 4) = -1$ .

Therefore, the absolute maximum of  $f$  on  $D$  is  $f(1, 0) = f(3, 2) = 2$ , and the absolute minimum is  $f(1, 4) = f(5, 0) = -2$ .

8. **(Bonus)** Using Lagrange's Multipliers, deduce that if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are positive numbers, then

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

In other words, this inequality says that the geometric mean of  $n$  numbers is no larger than the arithmetic mean of the numbers.

**Solution.** We wish to maximize  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sqrt[n]{x_1 \dots x_n}$  subject to the constraint  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n = c$  and  $x_i > 0$ . Then

$$\nabla f = \left( \frac{1}{n} (x_1 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}-1} (x_2 \dots x_n), \dots, \frac{1}{n} (x_1 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}-1} (x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) \right),$$

and  $\nabla g = (1, \dots, 1)$ . Since the  $x_i$  can be chosen so that  $f$  can be made arbitrarily close to zero,  $Y$  cannot be a point where  $f$  attains its minimum. Thus  $Y$  is a point of maximum and hence  $f(X) \leq f(Y)$ , for all  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In other words, we have the inequality

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 \dots x_n} \leq \sqrt[n]{\frac{c}{n} \dots \frac{c}{n}} = \frac{c}{n}.$$

Equating  $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$  and using Lagrange's Multipliers, we have the following system of  $n + 1$  equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n}(x_1 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}-1}(x_2 \dots x_n) &= \lambda \implies (x_1 \dots x_n)^{1/n} = n\lambda x_1 \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{1}{n}(x_1 \dots x_n)^{\frac{1}{n}-1}(x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) &= \lambda \implies (x_1 \dots x_n)^{1/n} = n\lambda x_n \\ x_1 + \dots + x_n &= c \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ . (For if  $\lambda = 0$ , then we cannot have that all  $x_i > 0$ .) Then the last equation in the system would imply that  $x_i = \frac{c}{n}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We conclude that the only point (or vector) where  $f$  will have an extremal value is  $Y = (\frac{c}{n}, \dots, \frac{c}{n})$ .

Since the  $x_i$  can be chosen so that  $f$  can be made arbitrarily close to zero,  $Y$  cannot be a point where  $f$  attains its minimum. Thus  $Y$  is a point of maximum and hence  $f(X) \leq f(Y)$ , for all  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In other words, we have the inequality

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 \dots x_n} \leq \sqrt[n]{\frac{c}{n} \dots \frac{c}{n}} = \frac{c}{n}.$$

Since  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = c$ , we have the required result.